

OEO Developer Meeting #49

Pads:

- Notes from last meeting: <https://etherpad.wikimedia.org/p/oeo-dev-48>
- Pad to this meeting: <https://etherpad.wikimedia.org/p/oeo-dev-49>
- Pad for next meeting: <https://etherpad.wikimedia.org/p/oeo-dev-50>

Date: 01.12.2022

Participants:

- Moderator: Ulf
- Main reporter: Christoph (bis 11:00), Ludwig
- Next meeting organiser: Ulf
- Developers with affiliation:
 - Christoph (RLI)
 - Mirjam (OvGU)
 - Ulf (IEE)
 - Ludwig (RLI)
 - Eugenio (DLR)
 - Ludwig M (TUM)

Preparation:

- Read last protocol:
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/wiki/OEO-developer-meetings>
- Check issues for next release:
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/milestones>
- Load software (GitHub, git, Protégé)

Agenda:

Organisational

- 7 pull requests open for more than a month [LE]
 - combined cycle power plant
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/pull/1362> -> CM
 - heat terms <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/pull/1360>
-> CM
 - export of terms and definitions
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/pull/1319> -> Eugenio?
 - import module process
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/pull/1268> -> Eugenio?
 - boolean variables
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/pull/1255> -> Fabian
 - definition individuals
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/pull/1143> -> LH
 - automated annotation
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/pull/1111> -> Janna

- TODO: Everybody goes through, solves, if possible
- Check all old (> 1 month) PR in beginning of each meeting
- Revisit modular structure of OEO [LE, MS]
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/wiki/Modules-of-the-OEO>
 - reason for 3 modules
 - people can import what they need
 - introducing more relations between classes of different modules leads to more and more classes need to be shifted to oeo-shared
 - oeo dev meeting 40: mindset of "it's no problem of moving more and more thing to oeo-shared"
 - https://raw.githubusercontent.com/wiki/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/oeo-dev-docs/2022-06-30_OEO-dev-40.pdf
 - imports between modules are handles over upper class oeo-shared (not possible between modules on same hierarchical level)
 - connected class need to be moved to oeo-shared with their axioms
 - oeo-shared is becoming more and more a core module instead of a shared module.
 - core concepts like energy, energy carrier, combustion fuel, portion of matter, energy transformation, model are already in oeo-shared
 - relating classes between different modules requires moving classes to oeo-shared
 - Example:
 - `generation time series` 'is about' some ('electricity generation process' and 'has participant' some 'power plant') => eight classes needed to be moved to oeo-shared
 - Alternative: leave classes in the modules and include in oeo-shared only the axioms that relate classes of different modules.
 - Pros:
 - oeo-shared remains short
 - Contras:
 - Not all axioms of a class are stored at one place
 - "Runaway classes" in the oeo-modules.
 - However, some-one who wants to use only one module is probably not interested in the relations to classes of other modules.
 - If we decide, that we merge everything, then there can still be the option to create new module
 - new module for sectors?
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/1399>
 - Maybe only for individuals? This would fit to the general principle that we have only few individuals in other parts of the OEO
 - composed module?

- <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/1328>
- content of oeo.omn versus oeo-shared: What belongs where?
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/1408>
- dissolve modular structure (partly)?
- restructuring of modules as 4th option: i.e. dissolve old structure and create new structure with composed module and maybe sector module
- ToDo @MS:
 - Magedeburg needed for decision-making --> internal discussion
 - Ask OEO-SC for support

- OEO access machine readable [CM]
 - Via URI: How to access rdfs:label machine readable?
 - Requirement: We need possibility to access the information fields of OEO-concept via API; sparql also fine
 - e.g.: http://openenergy-platform.org/ontology/oeo/OEO_00000031
 - ontobee
 - implementation on OEP
 - content negotiation ->
<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/238#issuecomment-638757457>
 - download file from OEO
 - owl-API <http://owlcs.github.io/owlapi/>

- Competency question report [EA]
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/pull/1420>
 - CQ files with clear names, for easy navigation.
 - There is the possibility of having a coverage Badge, sitting currently at 6%, but having it makes it go up is always a nice goal :)
 - Take a look at this fork branch README to get a glimpse of the badge: <https://github.com/areleu/ontology/tree/feature-1419-competency-question-coverage-report>
 - For this I need support from someone with higher rights
 - The report has explicit information on what went wrong.
 - If we improve our coverage we can speed up the review process.
 - If we reach a significant coverage we can shift towards TDD (Test-Driven-Development):
 - This means adding the requirement of every new addition to the ontology to need a competency question first.
 - Currently 6% of the classes in the OEO are covered by the CQ
 - The questions should not be too trivial in order to increase the score
 - The
 - Mark deprecated questions
 - Todo: Eugenio
 - --> create wiki article/tutorial to cq, better: add to ontology-test-guide
(<https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/wiki/ontology->

test-guide)

- --> make suggestion for process to create cq --> next OEO-Dev meeting
- --> Link papers (OEO first paper, ENSUSTO paper <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/literature/issues/6>)
- biofuel is renewable fuel: Make Q1 deprecated and create new competency questions.
 - Work-around for <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/872>: Manually remove Q1 from the checks

Release

- Release 1.13. scheduled for 2023-02-01

OEO Classes

- Template - Ontology Workspace (use for joint development) [NAME]
 - Add issue link
 - Collect related terms
 - Create an aristotelian definition
 - Find axioms
- Units with prefixes and composed units
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/1402>
 - Units with prefix: kilowatt, megawatt, gigawatt
 - Composed units: €/MW
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/1370>
 - currency per pkm: *Currency per pkm is a specific currency unit that relates currency to passenger-kilometre.*
 - currency per tkm: *Currency per tkm is a specific currency unit that relates currency to ton-kilometre.*
 - currency per 100 km: *Currency per 100 km is a specific currency unit that relates currency to 100 kilometer.*
 - currency per passenger: *Currency per passenger is a specific currency unit that relates currency to the number of passengers.*
 - energy per pkm: *Energy per pkm is a specific energy unit that relates energy to passenger-kilometre.*
 - energy per tkm: *Energy per tkm is a specific energy unit that relates energy to ton-kilometre.*
 - energy per 100 km: *Energy per 100 km is a specific energy unit that relates energy to 100 kilometer.*
 - energy per passenger: *Energy per passenger is a specific energy unit that relates energy to the number of passengers.*
 - --> Todo: Create Composed module asap, --> if possible as physical meeting?
 - LE, CM, FN, MS, EA, UM (info, opt), LH (opt), HF (opt)

- Quantifiers in instance types?
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/1424>
 - Example: 'CRF sector (IPCC 2006): CO2 emissions from biomass' [OEO_00010228]
 - It has type 'has memo item' some 'memo item'
 - The problem is that, since it is already instantiated. The quantifier does not longer make sense.
 - This also causes problems when parsing the ontology in .owl (aparently not in Protégé)
 - There is a total of 18 Individuals with this problem. Suggested solution in the issue
 - Todo --> MS asks FN

OEKG

Other Topics

- Issues, that are unsolved and are pending implementation, maybe someone wants to contribute to the discussion in the issues:
 - oil and petroleum products should be restructured [LE, LH]
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/811>
 - issue to be solved: put all liquid fuels as organic compounds or introduce something like 'liquid mixture', similar to the already existing 'gas mixture'
 - going for 'liquid mixture' probably means to add something in the definition of 'organic compound'.
 - Which entities actually can have a copyright license? [LE, LH]
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/1275>
 - first comprehensive list: data set
 - database
 - document
 - copyright license
 - data license
 - scenario
 - model
- [TODO] from OEO-Dev #48: @LE and MS: Prepare something for:
 - update and specify processes
 - wiki / contributing.md unspecific number / qualification of reviewer
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/issues/1106>
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/wiki/Pull-request-workflow>
 - <https://github.com/OpenEnergyPlatform/ontology/blob/dev/CONTRIBUTING.md>
 - --> create shared workflow with OEP/OEF --> Jonas

Collection of Tasks:

- Check open PR @ALL
- Ask OVGU Ontology Experts for options of modules @MS
- Compile discussion and proposed decision for OEO-SC @MS
- Test existing options for machine readable OEO file @CM
- Extend documentation for Competency Questions (CQ) in Wiki @EA
- Prepare a tutorial to formulate and apply good CQ @EA
- Create a Nuudle for a special meeting on the Composed Module @UM
- Create a draft for the Composed Module, start with the units @
- Collect examples for accessible definitions @LH
- Quantifiers in instance types? --> MS asks FN